

NEW FRANKLEY IN BIRMINGHAM PARISH COUNCIL

At a meeting of the Planning, Environmental, Highways and Public Transport Committee held at the Council Offices, 12 Arden Road, Frankley on 29 July 2002 at 1930 hours.

Present

Councillor Sidney Grey MBE (in the Chair)

Councillors Linda Banks, Ian Bruckshaw, Eric Carter, William Hollis and Geoffrey Williams.

There were 2 members of the public present.

APOLOGY FOR ABSENCE

An apology for absence was submitted on behalf of Councillor Joanna Walker (Holiday).

.

MINUTES

- 101 RESOLVED: That the Minutes of the meeting of the Planning, Environmental, Highways and Public Transport Committee held on 10 June 2002, having previously been circulated, were taken as read, confirmed and signed.

PLANNING APPLICATIONS

S/03729/02/FUL

Erection of single storey side and rear extension at 42 Lyall Gardens, Rubery, Rednal, Birmingham B45 9YW

The following Planning Application was submitted –

(see interleaved no.1)

Following introductory comments from the Chairperson it was agreed to advise the Planning Department that the Parish Council had no objection to the Planning Application

- 102 RESOLVED: That Birmingham City Council's Planning Department be advised that the New Frankley in Birmingham Parish Council has no objection to the Planning Application S/03729/02/FUL

DECISIONS MADE ON PLANNING APPLICATIONS

There were none.

FRANKLEY CENTRE DEVELOPMENT

- 103 Members would recall that at the last meeting of the Parish Council, the Developer submitted a planning application for a retail unit for Site C. However the arrangement between the Developer and the City stipulated that on industrial units could be built on Site C and, therefore, the landlord's consent to erect a retail unit was denied. Basically, permission to build on Site C had been denied and Site C would become part of a remarketing exercise for the whole development.

As far as the agreement was concerned, the City had agreed that the agreement became unconditional on 11th April which gave the Developer less than 12 months to complete the development of Site D – a time limit the Developer said was impossible to keep to – they were virtually asking him to build the development in one go.

The Chairperson felt that the City were imposing conditions so as to ensure the Developer could not complete the units and then the whole Site would be remarketed. He was concerned that there would be a further delay on developing the site which could be detrimental if the Rover site came on stream.

EXTENSION OF THE CROSS CITY LINE TO FRANKLEY

There was nothing further to report.

The meeting end at 1945 hours

.....
Chairperson